



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address of MMI 5th NEED OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS was used to be Don't local

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO.
09 833,507	04-11.2001	Ralph A. Mosher	D A0584Q	2992
751	90 10 07 2002			
Patent Documentaton Center Xerox Corporation Xerox Square, 20th Floor			EXAMINÊR	
			YOON, TAE II	
100 Clinton Ave. S. Rochester, NY 14644		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1714	C
			DATE MAILED 10 07 2002	0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMABKS Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO FIRST NAMED APPLICANT FILING DATE APPLICATION NUMBER

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)] The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	and od for
The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 707.07(f) in view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 707.07(f) in view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 707.07(f) in view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 707.07(f) in view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 707.07(f) in view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 707.07(f) in view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 707.07(f) in view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 707.07(f) in view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 707.07(f) in view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 707.07(f) in view of the early submission of the early submi	e final rejection.
In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPE'S 70.000.) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPE'S 70.000.) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPE'S 70.000.) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPE'S 70.000.) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPE'S 70.000.) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPE'S 70.000.) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPE'S 70.000.) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPE'S 70.000.) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPE'S 70.000.) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPE'S 70.000.) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPE'S 70.000.) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPE'S 70.000.) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPE'S 70.000.) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPE'S 70.000.) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPE'S 70.000.) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPE'S 70.000.) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPE'S 70.000.) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPE'S 70.000.) In view of the early submission of t	from the
biobayar is later in no event, noward,	
mailing date of the final rejection.	are a system sign fee
The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.130(a	and the appropriate extension fee under
ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFN 11 Solar of extension and the corresponding amount of the le	final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFH 1.139(a). The obtained and the corresponding amount of the fe in filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fe in 17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the	n, even if timely filed, may reduce any
in filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of softened statutory period for reply originally set in the 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the e, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection (1) the period of the set	
e, if checked. Ally reply reset to the same adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	we the period set forth in
	within the period set forth in
A Notice of Appeal was filed on thereof (37 CFB 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief Hids to have 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal was filed on	ce of Appeal and Appeal Brief
The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission	
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
the proposed amendments, and require further consideration and/or searc	h. (see NOTE below);
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because.	
they raise the issue of new matter. (see NOTE below); b) they raise the issue of new matter. (see NOTE below);	
they raise the issue of new matter. (see NOTE below). they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by matter.	tenally reducing or simplifying
they are not deemed to place the application in Section 11.	
the issues for appeal; and/or	of finally rejected claims.
the issues for appeal; and/or d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of	5, many 10,1
NOTE:	
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
would t	pe allowable if submitted in a
Nowly proposed or amended claim(s)	
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) \(\mathbb{X} \) request for reconsideration has been the allowable claim(s).	considered but does NOT place
e consider the constant of the	isidered but and
the application in condition for allowance because: See off a Chruel the application in condition for allowance because it is not directed SOLEI	
the application in condition for allowance because it is not directed SOLEI	Y to issues which were newly
	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR
	- The second second
For purposes of Appeal, the status	
	مين علا
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) allowed: 7 Claim(s) objected to: 7 Claim(s) o	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 7 Cla	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 7 Claim(s) rejected: 7 Claim(s) rejected:	ot been approved.
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: a) has b) has no	ot been approved to
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: a) has b) has no	of been approved as
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: a) has b) has no	ot been approved to a position of the control of th
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	of been approved to a been appro
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: a) has b) has no	ot been approved to the second of the second
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: The proposed drawing correction filed on Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.	ot been approved to the second of the second

Application/Control Number: 09/833,507

Art Unit: 1714

ATTACHMENT TO ADVISORY ACTION

Amended claims reciting a bonded substrates with an adhesive comprising an alcohol soluble polyamide and electrically conductive filler raise new issues that would require further consideration and search, and thus are denied of the entry.

Applicant's argument based on the amendment which is denied of the entry has no probative value, and the examiner would not respond to such argument.

Contrary to applicant's argument, Okunuki et al clearly teach an alcohol-soluble polyamide at col. 3, lines 37-49. All rejection is maintained for reason of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H. Yoon whose telephone number is (703) 308-2389. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on (703) 306-2777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9311.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

THY/October 7, 2002

TAE H. YOON

PRIMARY EXAMINER